UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,255	03/29/2004	Alex Iosilevsky	PKR-010-US	8302
	90 12/29/200 , PATENTS AND LI	EXAMINER		
2003 South EASTON ROAD SUITE 208 DOYLESTOWN, PA 18901			NGUYEN, KIM T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
** - *** · **				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS . 12/29/2006		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	M					
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/810,255	IOSILEVSKY, ALEX				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kim T. Nguyen	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING (- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a report of the second will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	October 2006.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction and subject to restriction.	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the second state of the second sta	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been rau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/4/06.	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application 				

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt of the RCE filed on 10/4/06. Currently, claims 1-26 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crawford, III et al (Provisional application No. 60/481,555) in view of Yoseloff (US. Patent No. 6,386,973).

Claim 1: Crawford discloses an electronic game table comprising a table frame 10 (Fig. 1); a plurality of player terminals 14 (Fig. 1) including a display and data input means 11 (Fig. 1); a central processing unit 12 (Fig. 1) connected to the player terminals, the central processing unit configured to cause the display of confidential cards on each of the terminal displays (paragraphs 0028 and 0031). Crawford does not explicitly disclose including a common display in a position visible to the at least two players and connected to the central processing unit and that the central processing includes a random generator. However, Yoseloff discloses including a common display in a position visible to the at least two players and connected to the central processing unit and that the central processing includes a random generator (col. 17, lines 56-65; col. 18, lines 8-12; and col. 9, lines 52-57). It would have been obvious to a person of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the common display and to incorporate the random generator with the processing unit as taught by Yoseloff to the electronic game table of Crawford in order to facilitate viewing cards from all the players and to distribute the cards randomly.

Claims 2-3: Crawford discloses connecting the central processing unit to the network (paragraph 0029). Further, connecting the central processing unit to a well-known network type such as LAN, Internet or Intranet would have been obvious design choice.

Claim 4: using data input means for anteing the bets, betting, or indicating role of a dealer would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claim 5: Yoseloff discloses positioning the common display on the table (Fig. 3).

Claim 8: Yoseloff discloses a poker game table for a number of players up to seven players (Fig. 1; col. 9, lines 22-24). Providing a poker game table that can accommodate for a number of players from two to ten players would have been well known and obvious design choice.

As per claim 9-14, refer to discussion in claims 9-14 above.

Claims 6-7: refer to discussion in claims 2-3 above.

Claim 15: Crawford discloses dealing confidential cards to each individual player terminal (paragraph 0031).

Claims 16 and 18: Crawford discloses obscuring the display of the confidential card from the players associated with other individual player terminals (paragraph 0032).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,255

Art Unit: 3714

Claim 17: Crawford discloses that the confidential cards are visible from the

Page 4

individual player terminal on which they were caused to display (paragraphs 0032,

0034).

Claims 19-26: refer to discussion in claims 1, 16-19 above.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kim T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30AM to

5:OOPM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Xuan Thai, can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The central official fax

number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kn

Date: December 23, 2006

Kim T. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3714